



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/632,422	08/04/2000	Berton Gunter	MERK-0004/20671	1928
7590 07/26/2004			EXAMINER	
Steven H Meyer			ZEMAN, MARY K	
Woodcock Was	shburn Kurtz Mackiewicz	& Norris LLP		
One Liberty Place 46th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Philadelphia, PA 10103			1631	

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/632,422	GUNTER, BERTON				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mary K Zeman	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 May 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-58 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-58 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)    Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/632,422

Art Unit: 1631

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1, 2 and 4-58 are pending in the application

Claims 10-58 stand withdrawn from consideration and should be canceled in response to the final rejection.

Applicant's arguments filed 5/19/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Any rejection not repeated below has been withdrawn.

The IDS filed 5/19/04 has been entered and considered. An initialed copy of the form PTO-1449 is included with this action.

Claims 1, 2 and 4-6 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by French et al. (USP 6,297,018).

Applicant argues that French et al. does not relate to analyzing the data from a stack of plates, but a single plate, and that French et al. only analyzes data in two dimensions. These arguments are not persuasive. Applicant is arguing limitations not present in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The claims do not require the processing of multiple sets of data, nor that the data is arranged in I, j, p formation. The data is required to be subject to "systemic and positional effects" This limitation is met by French. French et al. discuss in great detail at columns 47-70 how to compensate the raw assay data for systematic (background) and positional (edge, meniscus, position of detector in the well etc.) effects, how to score the compensated data, how to format it accordingly, and how to use it to identify biologically active agents by identifying data points that statistically deviate from other points in the formatted scored data.

Claims 7-9 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French et al. as applied to claims 1, 2 and 4-6 above, in view of MATHSOFT.

Applicant's arguments regarding the applicability of MATHSOFT have been considered and are not persuasive. French et al is the primary reference regarding the steps of the method, and MATHSOFT is applied for the execution of certain statistical steps which Applicant admits can be performed by any software. Applicant argues that MATHSOFT does not use any of the

Application/Control Number: 09/632,422

Art Unit: 1631

Ì

specific data generated by the method, however, the data itself does not impart functionality to the program. The program can perform the steps on any data.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the MATHSOFT program is so well known in the art for the performance of routine statistical analysis that it is cited in the specification for such a reason. Therefore, it is well known to anyone in the art of data analysis and biostatistics.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary K Zeman whose telephone number is (571) 272 0723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P Woodward can be reached on (571) 272 0722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Application/Control Number: 09/632,422

Art Unit: 1631

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

MÀRY K. Z**EMAN** RIMARY EXA**MIN**ER